International Journal of Pathology Sciences Online ISSN: 2664-9071, Print ISSN: 2664-9063

Received: 02-11-2018; Accepted: 03-12-2018; Published: 02-01-2019

www.pathologyjournal.net

Volume 1; Issue 1; 2019; Page No. 07-11



# The effect of anise and mint as essential oils supplementtion on performance and leukogram in broiler chickens

# Abdalla OAM<sup>1</sup>, Omnia E Kilany<sup>2</sup>, Moursi MK<sup>3</sup>, Shaimaa S Ahmed<sup>4</sup>, Fares IM<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> Department of Clinical Pathology. Vet. Med., Suez Canal University, University in Ismailia, Egypt
<sup>3,4</sup> National Laboratory for Veterinary Quality Control on Poultry Production, Ismailia, Egypt
<sup>5</sup> Department of Animal Behaviour, Vet Med, Suez Canal University, University in Ismailia, Egypt

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.33545/26649063.2019.v1.i1a.2

#### Abstract

This study was conducted to investigate the effect of essential oils (Anise, mint) as feed additives on performance and leukogram of vaccinated and non-vaccinated broiler chicks. A total of one hundred and sixty, day-old chicks were distributed into 8 equal groups (A-H). Control groups (A and B), anise oil as 400mg /kg diet (C and D), mint oil as 300 mg /Kg diet (E and F), mixture of anise and mint oil with the same previous dose (G and H). At 12 day of age half of groups (B, D, F and H) were vaccinated against Newcastle disease (ND) with IB+ND vaccine as eye drop. The statistical findings of this experiment indicated that a significant increase in the body weight, body weight gain, and improvement in FCR in the groups treated with Anise oil + mint oil then in groups treated with anise oils and mint oils. Concerning leukogram results there was increase in TLC with characteristic heterophilia, lymphocytosis, and monocytosis in vaccinated and non-vaccinated broiler chicks at 3 and 6 weeks of age. The study concluded that combination of anise oil and mint oil has growth promoting effect in broilers.

**Keywords:** essential oils, Anise oil, Mint oil, broilers

#### Introduction

Poultry industry is the fastest growing sources of meat in the world. The modern production system can produce market ready broiler chicks in less than 6 week (Perez-Carbajal et al., 2010) [29]. Antibiotic growth promoters have been successfully used at sub therapeutic doses in poultry production to promote growth and protect health of the birds (Engberg et al., 2000) [13]. Recently, published data indicated that unsystematic use of antibiotics increases several strains resistance to antibiotics used for human health and enhances transferring the resistance to other bacteria (Moser et al., 2003) [25]. Aromatic plants and herbal products have been used worldwide as natural additives for medicinal purposes because they have been accepted by consumers as natural additives (Nanekarani et al., 2012) [26]. Among the alternatives, essential oils have a great potential. The essential oils are generally considered natural, less toxic, and free from residues when compared with antibiotics (Gong et al., 2014) [20]. Essential oils are complex mixtures of volatile compounds produced by living organisms and isolated by physical means only (pressing and distillation) from a whole plant or plant part of known taxonomic origin (Franz and Novak, 2010) [18]. Anise (Pimpinella anisum L.) is an aromatic annual herb widely grown in the Mediterranean region. The part used is the fruit or seeds. Anise seeds have a number of active compounds, particularly volatile oil (1-4%), which consists of largely trans-anethol (70-90%) with estragole (methyl chavicol), anisealdehyde, bcaryophlline, anise ketone (methyloxy phenylacetone) and the polymers of anethole (Ciftci et al., 2005) [8]. Peppermint (Mentha piperita) or mint which is a member of the Labiatae family. It is widely used in herbal medicine and believed to be particularly beneficial in building of the immune system and fighting secondary

infections (Nanekarani *et al.*, 2012) <sup>[26]</sup>. This study aimed to investigate the effect of anise oil, mint oil and their combination on performance and leukogram of vaccinated and non-vaccinated broiler chickens.

### Materials and methods

# 1. Experimental chicks

One hundred and sixty, one day old, Indian River chicks with an average body weight 45-50 grams were obtained from Ismailia/ Misr Poultry Company. Chicks were randomly assigned to eight treatment groups each of 20 birds and reared for 6 weeks, the end of experimental period. Feed and water were provided adlibitum. The diet was formulated to meet the nutritional requirements as suggested by the (NRC 1994) [27]. Essential oils was dissolved in vegetable oil and then gently mixed with the standard diets.

## 2. Vaccination

Chickens of all groups were vaccinated with live vaccine (IB+ND) by spray route at hatchner. Birds of groups B, D, F and H were vaccinated against Newcastle disease (ND) with IB+ND vaccine vaccine at 12 day of age as eye drop.

## 3 Anise oil

Anise oil obtained from Jiangxi Yisenyuan Plant Spices Co., Ltd (China) with more than 85% anethole.

# 4. Mint oil

Mint oil obtained from Jiangxi Yisenyuan Plant Spices Co., Ltd (China) with more than 50% menthol.

## 5. Experimental design

One hundred and sixty, one day old, apparent healthy chicks

were classified into 8 equal groups; each group was fed for 6 weeks. Group (A): Control without any treatment and non-vaccinated. Group (B): Vaccinated and not received any treatment. Group (C) were received anise oil as 400mg / Kg diet throughout the experiment and non-vaccinated. Groups (D) were received anise oil with previous dose and vaccinated. Group (E) were received mint oil as 300mg /Kg diet throughout the experiment and non-vaccinated. Group (F) were Received mint oil with previous dose and vaccinated. Group (G) were received anise oil and mint oil as 400mg/Kg diet, 300mg/Kg diet respectively and throughout the experiment and non-vaccinated. Group (H) was received anise oil and mint oil with previous dose and vaccinated.

# **6. Growth Performance parameters**

The chicks were weighed individually at the end of each week and feed consumption was recorded weekly. Four hours prior to bird weighing, the diets were removed and feed consumption was determined. Feed conversion ratio (FCR) was calculated weekly (Brady, 1968) [5].

## 7. Sampling

Five birds from each group were randomly selected at 3 and 6 weeks, blood samples were collected from wing vein from each bird on sodium salt of EDTA as anticoagulant and used for leukogram studies.

## 8. Leukogram studies

Leukocytic counts were performed using an improved Neubaur Hemocytometer and Natt&Herick solution. Total white blood cells and differential leukocyte count were calculated according to standard technique described by (Jain, 1986) [23]. For differential leukocytic count, blood films were made on clean slides, dried on air, fixed with absolute methyl alcohol and stained with Giemsa stain, the percentage and absolute value for each type of white cells were calculated according to (Feldman *et al.*, 2000) [16].

## 9. Statistical analysis

Data collected from treated groups of chicks were statically analyzed in compare to control group for the mean and standard error using SPSS 16 (Coakes *et al.*, 2009) <sup>[9]</sup>. Differences between means of different groups were carried out using one way ANOVA with Duncan multiple comparison tests according to Snedecor and William (1989) <sup>[33]</sup>

## **Results and Discussion**

Medicinal plants can be used as natural growth promoters due to their antimicrobial and nutrient digestion improving properties (Hernandez *et al.*, 2004) [21].

In the present study, anise and mint oils as a natural alternative growth promoter to antibiotics, significantly increase (P<0.05) final body weight and total body weight gain in chickens than control non-supplemented. Moreover, it significantly decreases (P<0.05) total FCR than control. The improvement of weight gain and FCR could be attributed due to the positive role of these medicinal plants on keeping a balanced microbial ecosystem in the digestive tract and stimulating digestive enzymes secretions (Ramakrisna *et al.*, 2003) [31], which directly increased nutrient digestion and improved body weight gain. The favorable effects of adding anise oil and peppermint oil

mixture to the basal diet could be attributed to the active and valuable components of such plants particularly essential oils. Cabuk et al., (2003) [6] mentioned that, the increase in live BW, BWG and the improvement in FCR may be due to the different active ingredients, particularly anethole and eugenol in anise which have digestive stimulating effects particularly protein, fats and cellulose digestion. In the same context, Giannenas et al. (2003) [19] and Ciftci et al. (2005) [8] reported that Pimpinella anisum oil significantly improves daily live BWG and FCR of male broilers. However, it also seems that, the improvement effect of Pimpinella anisum seeds might be due to the improvement of apparent whole tract and ileal digestibility of the nutrients (Hernandez et al., 2004) [21] and increases the effect of pancreatic lipase and amylase (Ramakrisna et al., 2003) [31]. Bioactive constituents, menthol, anethol and eugenol are currently being researched for their effects in broiler chickens. All of these components have been shown to promote growth performance (Erhan et al., 2012) [14]; (Fallah et al., 2013) [15] and (Hong et al., 2012) [22] and nutrient digestibility (Amad et al., 2011) [2] and (Emami et al., 2012) [12]. These results agree with Ciftci et al. (2005) [8] and Charal (2014) [7] who stated that, addition of 400 mg anise oil/kg diet improved ADG by approximately 6.5% and FCR by approximately 6% in broilers. This is likely attributed to different concentrations of the active substances and the methods used to isolate the effective compounds. The efficacy of star anise and its extracts also could be affected by other factors such as the diet type, animal age, hygiene, environmental factors, and so on (Amad et al., 2011) [2]. Similar findings have been reported by El Deek et al. (2003) [11] who investigated that, the higher body weight was found in birds fed with aniseed. Similarly, Simsek et al. (2007) [32] stated that, the improved body weight in the diet supplemented with 400 ppm of anise oil could be due to positive effects of anise oil on digestive system.

The positive effect of peppermint on improving growth performance caused by its role in strengthening the digestive system, improving feed efficiency and decreasing the gastrointestinal disorders. The active compounds such as essential oil that existence in the peppermint were stimulate appetite and improve the digestion and mineral absorption and increase feed efficiency in broilers (Asadi et al., 2017) [3]. Moreover, the antiseptic property of peppermint prevents harmful bacterial growth in the digestive system that, led to better digestion and absorption. The antiseptic property of peppermint results from the presence of menthol. It seems that, the presence of active compounds such as essential oil in the plant stimulate appetite and improve the digestion and mineral absorption and increase feed efficiency in broilers. Peppermint has also been shown to cure indigestion and gastroparesis and indigestion in humans (Ocak et al., 2008)

In contrast, Botsoglou *et al.* (2004) <sup>[4]</sup> reported that, the supplementation of essential oils to a diet had no beneficial effect on body weight. Similar result was observed by Jamroz *et al.* (2005) <sup>[24]</sup> which noted that, a plant extract included in a broiler diet did not improve the body weight. Avian leukocytes act as the first line of defense against invading microorganism (Powell, 1987) <sup>[30]</sup>, the results of leukogram study showed leukocytosis in anise oil + mint oil treated group with vaccination followed by anise oil treated group with vaccination followed by other treated groups in

comparing with control groups at 3<sup>rd</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> weeks of age with characteristic heterophilia, lymphocytosis, and monocytosis.

The WBCs known to be useful guide to the severity of disease fight infection and defend the body, it is well documented that, lymphocytes and monocytes perform a specific function against viral infections and several diseases (Fischbach and Dunning, 2004) [17]. The favorable effect obtained in total WBCs or in differential counts (hetrerophils, lymphocytes and monocytes) might be attributed to the main components of such medicinal plants, particularly essential oils.

This result agreed with Al-Beitawi et al. (2010) [1] who stated that, The increase in total WBCs and heterophils counts of vaccinated or non-vaccinated male broilers fed

basal diet supplemented by 2.0% crushed Pimpinella anisum, Nigella sativa seeds and Thymus vulgaris mixture noticed at 21 days of age may be due to the active components of these medicinal plants.

The results of these study regarding increases in lymphocyte cell number are in accordance with previous reports (Eisa and El-Hamied, 2003) [10]; (Ziaran *et al.*, 2005) [35] and (Kong *et al.*, 2006). Similarly, Soltan *et al.* (2008) [34] reported that, anise 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1 gm/kg diet significantly improved lymphocyte compared to control birds. Contrarily, Al-Beitawi *et al.*, (2010) [1] found that, vaccinated male broiler chicks fed herbal mixture including anise had significantly lowered lymphocyte at 42<sup>th</sup> day compared to vaccinated non-supplemented birds.

Table 1: Effect of anise oil and mint oil on live body weight (g) of chickens with and without vaccination

| Groups                                  | 1st WK                    | 2nd WK                | 3rd WK                     | 4th WK                     | 5 <sup>th</sup> WK | 6 <sup>th</sup> WK          |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| A (Control without vaccine)             | 134.44 ±1.76 <sup>d</sup> | $387.78 \pm 8.04^{d}$ | 716.11 ±8.81 <sup>d</sup>  | 1055.60±14.94 <sup>d</sup> | 1504.40±10.91 d    | 1770.60 ±7.84 <sup>d</sup>  |
| B (Control+ vaccine)                    | $135.00 \pm 2.89$ d       | $399.44 \pm 3.27^{d}$ | 731.67 ±4.56 <sup>d</sup>  | 1067. 80± 9.39 d           | 1512.80±11.82 d    | 1765.00 ±8.66 d             |
| C (Anise oil without vaccine)           | 144.44 ±2.11bc            | 432.22 ±6.83bc        | 791.11 ±7.90°              | 1208.90±14.69°             | 1686.10±8.45 °     | 2050.60 ±13.03 °            |
| D (Anise oil+ vaccine)                  | 145.56 ±1.55bc            | 431.1 ±7.30bc         | 821.11 ±8.81 <sup>b</sup>  | 1315.00 ±15.72ab           | 1804.40±10.19 a    | 2270.00 ±12.91a             |
| E (Mint oil without vaccine)            | 142.78±2.06°              | 425.56 ±4.89°         | 786.11 ±6.05°              | 1176.70 ±13.54°            | 1675.00±11.93°     | 2021.70±7.86°               |
| F (Mint oil + vaccine)                  | 141.67 ±2.20°             | 427.22 ±8.54°         | 806.11 ±8.15 <sup>bc</sup> | 1280.00±15.32b             | 1767.20±13.00 b    | 2106.10 ±19.77 <sup>b</sup> |
| G (Anise oil+ Mint oil without vaccine) | 149.44 ±1.00ab            | 448.33 ±3.91ab        | 851.11 ±4.91 <sup>a</sup>  | 1343.30±15.57 <sup>a</sup> | 1835.00±10.61 a    | 2295.00±6.61a               |
| H (Anise oil+ Mint oil+ vaccine)        | 152.22 ±1.21a             | 454.44 ±4.75a         | 861.11 ±9.71a              | 1323.30±11.93 a            | 1815.60±9.88 a     | 2278.90±6.55a               |

Values are expressed as mean (Mean± SE). Means with the same letter in the same column are non-significant at P<0.05.

Table 2: Effect of anise oil and mint oil on mean weight gain (g/bird) of chickens with and without vaccination

| Age Groups                              | 1st WK          | 2 <sup>nd</sup> WK | 3rd WK                   | 4 <sup>th</sup> WK        | 5 <sup>th</sup> WK        | 6 <sup>th</sup> WK       |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| A (Control without vaccine)             |                 |                    |                          | 339.44±14.40°             |                           | 266.11±9.35°             |
| B (Control+ vaccine)                    | 88.11±2.68 d    | 264.44± 4.52b      | 332.22±2.51 <sup>d</sup> | 336.11± 11.45°            | 445.00±9.32 b             | 252.22 ±11.09 °          |
| C (Anise oil without vaccine)           | 96.78±2.19 bc   | 287.78±7.32a       | 358.89±9.16°             | 417.78±11.00 <sup>b</sup> | 509.44±16.40 a            | 311.11±11.23 b           |
| D (Anise oil+ vaccine)                  | 98.78±1.48 bc   | 285.56 ±8.39a      | 390.00 ±7.95ab           | 493.89 ±14.55a            | 489.44±14.44 a            | 465.56 ±12.17a           |
| E (Mint oil without vaccine)            | 95.33±2.23 °    | 282.22 ±5.78a      | 360.56±4.82°             | 390.56 ±11.94b            | 498.33±11.27 a            | 335.56±8.68 <sup>b</sup> |
| F (Mint oil + vaccine)                  | 94.22 ±2.40 °   | 285.56±8.39a       | 378.89±9.57bc            | 473.89±12.98 <sup>a</sup> | 487.22±12.28 <sup>a</sup> | 338.89 ±12.49b           |
| G (Anise oil+ Mint oil without vaccine) | 101.89 ±1.47 ab | 298.89±3.89a       | 402.78±6.72a             | 492.22±13.77 a            | 491.67±14.33 a            | 460.00±13.23a            |
| H (Anise oil+ Mint oil+ vaccine)        | 105.00±0.93a    | 302.22 ±4.94a      | 406.67 ±9.79a            | 462.22±7.73 a             | 492.22±14.32 a            | 463.33±9.13a             |

Values are expressed as mean (Mean± SE). Means with the same letter in the same column are non-significant at P<0.05

**Table 3:** Effect of anise oil and mint oil on feed intake (g/bird) of chickens with and without vaccination

| Groups                                  | 1st WK                  | 2 <sup>nd</sup> WK        | 3rd WK                   | 4th WK                    | 5 <sup>th</sup> WK | 6 <sup>th</sup> WK       |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| A (Control without vaccine)             | 97.17±0.45 a            | 370.99± 1.43a             | 440.76±2.84 <sup>d</sup> | 818.49±2.42°              | 978.28±6.55 a      | 980.24±7.64a             |
| B (Control+ vaccine)                    | 95.42±0.59 a            | 375.75± 1.43 <sup>a</sup> | 450.80±6.94bc            | 822.31± 2.16 °            | 966.75±4.37 a      | 953.58 ±7.25 b           |
| C (Anise oil without vaccine)           | 82.59±0.71 <sup>d</sup> | 275.25±3.31 <sup>d</sup>  | 445.09±3.26 <sup>d</sup> | 853.23±3.36 <sup>b</sup>  | 866.40±4.87 b      | 876.67±7.26 d            |
| D (Anise oil+ vaccine)                  | 82.84±0.74 d            | 349.09 ±2.21 <sup>b</sup> | 454.03±2.99bc            | 843.95 ±3.52 <sup>b</sup> | 864.76±2.11 b      | 932.01 ±9.83°            |
| E (Mint oil without vaccine)            | 91.60±0.34 bc           | 266.46 ±5.47 <sup>d</sup> | 464.55±4.67 <sup>b</sup> | $785.50 \pm 3.04^{d}$     | 817.31±7.26°       | 928.55±7.46°             |
| F (Mint oil + vaccine)                  | 92.34±0.40 <sup>b</sup> | 345.58±2.86 <sup>b</sup>  | 485.12±6.49a             | 785.62±5.21 <sup>d</sup>  | 806.64±3.51 °      | 870.28±5.77 <sup>d</sup> |
| G (Anise oil+ Mint oil without vaccine) | 90.04±0.72°             | 272.49±2.18 <sup>d</sup>  | 482.20±3.50a             | 919.07±2.23 a             | 865.53±3.07 b      | 885.30±4.32 <sup>d</sup> |
| H (Anise oil+ Mint oil+ vaccine)        | 92.61±0.90 <sup>b</sup> | 286.07 ±3.04°             | 480.49±5.99a             | 915.69±3.81 a             | 870.66±5.30 b      | 920.41±5.76°             |

Values are expressed as mean (Mean $\pm$  SE). Means with the same letter in the same column are non-significant at P<0.05

Table 4: Effect of anise oil and mint oil on FCR ((g food /g gain) of chickens with and without vaccination

| Groups                                  | 1st WK                  | 2 <sup>nd</sup> WK     | 3rd WK                  | 4th WK                 | 5 <sup>th</sup> WK     | 6 <sup>th</sup> WK     |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| A (Control without vaccine)             | 1.10±0.01 a             | $1.46 \pm 0.01^{a}$    | 1.34±0.02a              | 2.41±0.03a             | 2.18±0.03 a            | $3.69\pm0.05^{a}$      |
| B (Control+ vaccine)                    | 1.08±0.01 a             | $1.42\pm0.01^{a}$      | 1.36±0.02a              | 2.45± 0.03 a           | 2.17±0.01 a            | 3.80±0.08 a            |
| C (Anise oil without vaccine)           | 0.85±0.01 <sup>cd</sup> | 0.96±0.02°             | 1.24±0.02bc             | 2.04±0.02 <sup>b</sup> | 1.63±0.01 °            | 2.82±0.04 b            |
| D (Anise oil+ vaccine)                  | 0.84±0.01 d             | 1.22±0.02 <sup>b</sup> | 1.16±0.01 <sup>d</sup>  | 1.71±0.03 <sup>d</sup> | 1.77±0.02 b            | 2.00±0.01 <sup>d</sup> |
| E (Mint oil without vaccine)            | 0.96±0.01 b             | 0.94±0.02°             | 1.29±0.01 <sup>b</sup>  | 2.01±0.01 <sup>b</sup> | 1.60±0.01 °            | 2.77±0.01 b            |
| F (Mint oil + vaccine)                  | 0.98±0.01 b             | 1.21±0.02 <sup>b</sup> | 1.28±0.01 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.66±0.03 <sup>d</sup> | 1.66±0.01 °            | 2.57±0.05°             |
| G (Anise oil+ Mint oil without vaccine) | 0.88±0.01 °             | 0.91±0.01c             | 1.20±0.01 <sup>cd</sup> | 1.87±0.01 °            | 1.76±0.01 <sup>b</sup> | 1.93±0.03 <sup>d</sup> |
| H (Anise oil+ Mint oil+ vaccine)        | 0.88±0.01 °             | 0.95±0.02°             | 1.18±0.03 <sup>d</sup>  | 1.98±0.01 b            | 1.78±0.03 b            | 1.99±0.01 <sup>d</sup> |

Values are expressed as mean (Mean± SE). Means with the same letter in the same column are non-significant at P<0.05

**Table 5:** Effect of anise oil and mint oil on leukogram parameters at 3weeks age of chickens with and without vaccination

| Group                                   | TLC x10³/μl              | Heterophils x10³/μl      | Lymphocyte<br>x10³/µl | Monocyte<br>x10³/μl     | Eosinophils<br>x10³/µl | Basophils x10 <sup>3</sup> /µl |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| A(Control without vaccine)              | 23.60±1.72 <sup>d</sup>  | 11.58±1.28 <sup>d</sup>  | 9.54±0.55 °           | 1.77±0.36 <sup>d</sup>  | 1.30±0.41 a            | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$              |
| B(Control+ vaccine)                     | 24.60±1.72 <sup>d</sup>  | 11.90±1.18 <sup>cd</sup> | 9.99±0.65°            | 1.63±0.05 <sup>d</sup>  | 1.02±0.05 a            | $0.05\pm0.05^{a}$              |
| C(Anise oil without vaccine)            | 40.40±2.13bc             | 20.27±2.21ab             | 15.42±2.08ab          | $2.68\pm0.45^{bcd}$     | 2.03±0.19 a            | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$              |
| D(Anise oil+ vaccine)                   | 42.00±2.28ab             | 20.31±1.35ab             | 15.25±1.36ab          | 3.25±0.43ab             | 2.32±0.46 a            | 0.09±0.09a                     |
| E (Mint oil without vaccine)            | 33.60±2.79°              | 16.90±1.98bc             | 13.05±1.37bc          | 2.13±0.29 <sup>cd</sup> | 1.39±0.45 a            | 0.12±0.08a                     |
| F (Mint oil + vaccine)                  | 37.60±3.65bc             | 17.26±1.88ab             | 15.48±2.07 ab         | 2.93±0.45ab             | 1.93±0.61 a            | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$              |
| G (Anise oil+ Mint oil without vaccine) | 35.20±2.15 <sup>bc</sup> | 16.70±1.40bc             | 13.38±0.70 bc         | $2.74\pm0.17^{bcd}$     | 2.39±0.59 a            | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$              |
| H (Anise oil+ Mint oil+ vaccine)        | 48.00±1.41a              | 22.36±1.82a              | 18.70±0.89a           | 4.67±0.38a              | 2.28±0.48 a            | 0.10±0.10a                     |

Values are expressed as mean (Mean± SE). Means with the same letter in the same column are non-significant at P<0.05

Table 6: Effect of anise oil and mint oil on leukogram parameters at 6 weeks age of chickens with and without vaccination

| Group                                  | TLC x10 <sup>3</sup> /μl | Heterophils x10³/μl     | Lymphocyte<br>x10³/µl | Monocyte<br>x10³/μl    | Eosinophils<br>x10³/µl | Basophils<br>x10³/µl |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| A (Control without vaccine)            | 18.00±.089c              | 8.90±0.58 <sup>b</sup>  | 6.88±0.40°            | 1.10±0.11 <sup>a</sup> | 1.12±0.22 a            | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$    |
| B(Control+ vaccine)                    | 20.00±2.45°              | 9.36±1.11 <sup>b</sup>  | 8.21±1.13bc           | 1.26±0.09a             | 1.11±0.28 a            | $0.05\pm0.05^{a}$    |
| C(Anise oil without vaccine)           | 25.40±0.75 <sup>b</sup>  | 12.72±0.77 <sup>a</sup> | $9.38\pm0.72^{ab}$    | 1.38±0.48 <sup>a</sup> | 1.87±0.24 a            | $0.05\pm0.05^{a}$    |
| D(Anise oil+ vaccine)                  | 26.20±1.62b              | 12.09±0.91a             | $9.60\pm0.75^{ab}$    | 2.51±0.35 <sup>a</sup> | 2.09±0.30 a            | $0.10\pm0.06^{a}$    |
| E(Mint oil without vaccine)            | 25.60±1.33b              | 12.68±0.89a             | $9.93\pm0.22^{ab}$    | 1.81±0.43a             | 1.18±0.31 a            | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$    |
| F(Mint oil + vaccine)                  | 27.20±6.10ab             | 13.36±1.17 <sup>a</sup> | 9.53±1.38 ab          | 2.38±0.44a             | 1.89±0.23 a            | 0.11±0.07a           |
| G(Anise oil+ Mint oil without vaccine) | 27.60±1.72ab             | 13.28±1.02 <sup>a</sup> | 9.90±0.85 ab          | 2.45±0.31 <sup>a</sup> | 1.97±0.38 a            | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$    |
| H(Anise oil+ Mint oil+ vaccine)        | 31.80±1.28a              | 14.83±0.82a             | 11.77±0.40a           | 2.55±0.82a             | 1.96±0.50 a            | $0.07\pm0.07^{a}$    |

Values are expressed as mean (Mean± SE). Means with the same letter in the same column are non-significant at P<0.05

#### References

- 1. Al-Beitawi NA, El-Ghousein SS, Athamneh MZ. Effect of adding crushed *Pimpinella anisum*, *Nigella sativa* seeds and *Thymus vulgaris* mixture to antibiotics-free rations of vaccinated and non-vaccinated male broilers on growth performance, antibody titer and haematological profile. Italian Journal of Animal Science. 2010; 9:e43.
- 2. Amad A, Manner K, Wendler K, Neumann K, Zentek J. Effects of a phytogenic feed additive on growth performance and ileal nutrient digestibility in broiler chickens. Poultry Science. 2011; 90:2816-2811.
- 3. Asadi N, Husseini SD, Tohidian MT, Abdali N, Mimandipoure A, Rafieian-Kopaei M. Performance of Broilers Supplemented With Peppermint (*Mentha piperita L.*) Powder. Journal of evidence-based complementary & alternative medicine. 2017; 22:703-706.
- 4. Botsoglou N, Christaki E, Florou-Paneri P, Giannenas I, Papageorgiou G, Spais A. The effect of a mixture of herbal essential oils or á-tocopheryl acetate on performance parameters and oxidation of body lipid in broilers. South African Journal of Animal Science. 2004; 34:52-61.
- 5. Brady WL. Measurments of some poultry performance parameters. Vet. Res. 1968; 88:245-260.
- Cabuk M, Alcicek A, Bozkurt M, Imre N. Published. Antimicrobial properties of the essential oils isolated from aromatic plants and using possibility as alternative feed additives. II. National Animal Nutrition Congress, 2003, 18-20.
- 7. Charal JW. Influence of Feeding Anise Oil to Piglets and Broilers. PhD Louisiana State Univ. Louisiana, Baton Rouge, 2014.
- 8. Ciftci M, Güler T, Dalkiliç B, Ertas ON. The effect of anise oil (*Pimpinella anisum L.*) on broiler performance .International Journal of Poultry Science. 2005; 4:851-855.

- 9. Coakes SJ, Steed LG, Ong C. SPSS: analysis without anguish: version 16 for Windows / Sheridan J. Coakes, Lyndall Steed, Clara Ong John Wiley & Sons Australia, Milton, Qld, 2009.
- 10. Eisa AM, El-Hamied SSA. Clinicopathological studies on bio-stimulant agent in broiler chickens. Kafr El-Sheikl Veterinary Medicine Journal. 2003; 1:631-644.
- 11. El Deek A, Attia Y, Hannfy MM. Effect of anise (*Pimpinella anisum*), ginger (*Zingiber officinale Roscoe*) and fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*) and their mixture on performance of broilers. Arch. Geflu gelk. 2003; 67(2):92-96.
- 12. Emami NK, Samie A, Rahmani H, Ruiz-Feria C. The effect of peppermint essential oil and fructooligosaccharides, as alternatives to virginiamycin, on growth performance, digestibility, gut morphology and immune response of male broilers. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2012; 175:57-64.
- 13. Engberg RM, Hedemann MS, Leser T, Jensen BB. Effect of zinc bacitracin and salinomycin on intestinal microflora and performance of broilers. Poultry Science. 2000; 79(13):1319-11.
- 14. Erhan M, Bolukbası Ş, Urusan H. Biological activities of pennyroyal (Mentha pulegium L.) in broilers. Livestock Science. 2012; 146:189-192.
- 15. Fallah R, Kiani A, Azarfar A. Effect of Artichoke Leaves Meal and Mentha Extract on Immune Cells And Blood Biochemical Parameters of Broilers. Glob. Vet. 2013; 10:99-102.
- 16. Feldman BF, Zinki JG, Jain VC. Schalm, s veterinary Heamatology.5th ed. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins. Canda, 2000, 1145-1146.
- 17. Fischbach F, Dunning M. Immunodiagnostic studies. A manual of laboratory and diagnostics tests, 7th edn. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, 2004, 604-605.
- Franz C, Novak J. Sources of essential oils. Handbook of Essential Oils: Science, Technology, and Applications. CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2010, 39-82.

- 19. Giannenas I, Florou-Paneri P, Papazahariadou M, Christaki E, Botsoglou N, Spais A. Effect of dietary supplementation with oregano essential oil on performance of broilers after experimental infection with Eimeria tenella. Archives of Animal Nutrition. 2003; 57:99-106.
- Gong J, Yin F, Hou Y, Yin Y. Chinese herbs as alternatives to antibiotics in feed for swine and poultry production: Potential and challenges in application. Canadian Journal of Animal Science. 2014; 94:223-241
- 21. Hernandez F, Madrid J, Garcia V, Orengo J, Megias M. Influence of two plant extracts on broilers performance, digestibility, and digestive organ size. Poultry science. 2004; 83:169-174.
- 22. Hong JC, Steiner T, Aufy A, Lien TF. Effects of supplemental essential oil on growth performance, lipid metabolites and immunity, intestinal characteristics, microbiota and carcass traits in broilers. Livestock Science. 2012; 144:253-262.
- 23. Jain NC. Schalm's veterinary hematology, Lea & Febiger, 1986.
- 24. Jamroz D, Wiliczkiewicz A, Wertelecki T, Orda J, Skorupińska J. Use of active substances of plant origin in chicken diets based on maize and locally grown cereals. British poultry science. 2005; 46:485-493.
- Moser M, Messikommer R, Pfirter H, Wenk C. Published. Influence of the phytogenic feed additive sangrovit on zootechnical effects in broilers in field trials. Proc. 14th Eur. Symp. Poultry Nutr, 2003, 2005-2006
- 26. Nanekarani S, Goodarzi M, Heidari M, Landy N. Efficiency of ethanolic extract of peppermint (*Mentha piperita*) as an antibiotic growth promoter substitution on performance, and carcass characteristics in broiler chickens. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine. 2012; 2:S1611-S1614.
- 27. NRC. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9th rev. Ed. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., USA, 1994.
- 28. Ocak N, Erener G, Burak Ak F, Sungu M, Altop A, Ozmen A. Performance of broilers fed diets supplemented with dry peppermint (*Mentha piperita L.*) or thyme (*Thymus vulgaris L.*) leaves as growth promoter source. Czech Journal of Animal Science. 2008; 53:169.
- 29. Perez-Carbajal C, Caldwell D, Farnell M, Stringfellow K, Pohl S, Casco G. Immune response of broiler chickens fed different levels of arginine and vitamin E to a coccidiosis vaccine and Eimeria challenge. Poultry science. 2010; 89:1870-1877.
- 30. Powell P. Immune mechanisms in infections of poultry. Veterinary immunology and immunopathology. 1987; 15:87-113.
- 31. Ramakrisna RR, Platel K, Srinivasan K. In vitro influence of species and spice-active principles on digestive enzymes of rat pancreas and small intestine. Nahrung. 2003; 47:408-412.
- 32. Simsek U, Ciftci M, Dalkilic B, Guler T, Ertas O. The effects of dietary antibiotic and anise oil supplementation on body weight, carcass characteristics and organoleptic analysis of meat in broilers. Revue de Médecine Vétérinaire. 2007; 158:514.
- 33. Snedecor GWC, William G. Statistical methods/george

- w. Snedecor and william g. Cochran, 1989.
- 34. Soltan M, Shewita R, El-Katcha. M Effect of dietary anise seeds supplementation on growth performance, immune response, carcass traits and some blood parameters of broiler chickens. Int. J. Poult. Sci. 2008; 7:1078-1088.
- 35. Ziaran H, Rahmani H, Pourreza J. Effect of dietary oil extract of propolis on immune response and broiler performance. Pak J Biol Sci. 2005; 8:1485-90.